Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/621,508	PATRICK ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Christopher Upton	1724
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Christopher Upton</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Mark Eisen</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 26 May 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.	-	
Rejection(s) discussed:		•
Claims discussed:  1, 15  Prior art documents discussed:  Tozier  Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  It was agreed to amend claims 1 and 15 to recite that the dike is in the form of a plurality of blocks and that the flow passages are elongated, to clarify the claims and patentably distinguish over the prior art of record.  Part III.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
CHRISTOPHER UPTON PRIMARY EXAMINER		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature – if appropriate)